

Rezone land at New England Highway and Kia Ora Rd, Armidale			
Proposal Title :	Rezone land at New England Hig	hway and Kia Ora Rd, Armid	ale
Proposal Summary :	The proposal seeks to rezone Lo New England Highway and 19 Ki to IN2 Light Industrial and amend	a Ora Rd, Armidale, from RU	4 Primary Production Small Lots
PP Number :	PP_2015_ARMID_003_00	Dop File No :	15/14436
Proposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	30-Sep-2015	LGA covered :	Armidale Dumaresq
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Armidale Dumaresq Council
State Electorate :	NORTHERN TABLELANDS	Section of the Act	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details		×.	
Street : 105	58 New England Highway and 19 K	ia Ora Rd	
Suburb :	City :	Armidale	Postcode : 2350
Land Parcel : Lot	1 DP 1173995, Lot 1 DP 1195163 an	nd Lot 14 DP 5188	
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Craig Diss		
Contact Number :	0267019685		
Contact Email :	craig.diss@planning.nsw.gov.au		
RPA Contact Detai	ls		
Contact Name :	Kathy Martin		
Contact Number :	0267703632		
Contact Email :	kmartin@armidale.nsw.gov.au		
DoP Project Manager Contact Details			
Contact Name :			
Contact Number :			
Contact Email :			
Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :	Other	Release Area Name :	Other
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	N/A	Consistent with Strategy	N/A

. F

Gross Floor Area : The NSW Government * Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment :	The Department of Plann communications and me Region's knowledge. The this proposal, nor has the	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) : No. of Dwellings (where relevant) : No of Jobs Created : No of Jobs Created : No of Jobs Created content etings with lobbyists has been content Northern Region has not met with the Northern Region been advised of d lobbyists concerning the proposition	nplied with to the best of the any lobbyists in relation to any meeting between other
Gross Floor Area : The NSW Government Y Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment : If No, comment : Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? : If Yes, comment : upporting notes Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting	0 Yes The Department of Plann communications and me Region's knowledge. The this proposal, nor has the Departmental Officers an	(where relevant) : No of Jobs Created : ing and Environment's Code of Pra etings with lobbyists has been con Northern Region has not met with e Northern Region been advised of	2,100 actice in relation to applied with to the best of the any lobbyists in relation to any meeting between other
The NSW Government Y Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment : If No, comment : Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? : If Yes, comment : upporting notes Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting	Yes The Department of Plann communications and me Region's knowledge. The this proposal, nor has the Departmental Officers an	ing and Environment's Code of Pra etings with lobbyists has been con Northern Region has not met with e Northern Region been advised of	actice in relation to nplied with to the best of the any lobbyists in relation to any meeting between other
Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment : Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? : If Yes, comment : upporting notes Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting	The Department of Plann communications and me Region's knowledge. The this proposal, nor has the Departmental Officers an	etings with lobbyists has been con Northern Region has not met with e Northern Region been advised of	nplied with to the best of the any lobbyists in relation to any meeting between other
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? : If Yes, comment : upporting notes Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting	communications and me Region's knowledge. The this proposal, nor has the Departmental Officers an	etings with lobbyists has been con Northern Region has not met with e Northern Region been advised of	nplied with to the best of the any lobbyists in relation to any meeting between other
meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? : If Yes, comment : upporting notes Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting	No		
upporting notes Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting			
nternal Supporting Notes : External Supporting			
nternal Supporting Notes : External Supporting			
equacy Assessment tatement of the obje			
s a statement of the obje			
Comment :	The statement of objectives adequately describes the intention of the Planning Proposal to make a zoning and minimum lot size change for the subject land under Armidale Dumaresq LEP 2012.		
xplanation of provis	ions provided - s55(2)(b)	
s an explanation of provis	sions provided? Yes		
Comment :	The explanation of pro Dumaresq LEP 2012.	visions adequately addresses the	intended changes to Armidale
stification - s55 (2)	(c)		
a) Has Council's strategy	been agreed to by the Dir	rector General? Yes	
) S.117 directions identif	ied by RPA :	1.1 Business and Industrial Zor	nes
May need the Director G	General's agreement	1.2 Rural Zones 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Producti 1.5 Rural Lands 2.1 Environment Protection Zon	

Rezone land at New Eng	gland Highway and	I Kia Ora Rd, Armidale
		 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
Is the Director Genera	I's agreement required?	? Yes
c) Consistent with Standa	ard Instrument (LEPs) C	0rder 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have the	e RPA identified?	SEPP No 15—Rural Landsharing Communities SEPP No 21—Caravan Parks SEPP No 30—Intensive Agriculture SEPP No 33—Hazardous and Offensive Development SEPP No 36—Manufactured Home Estates SEPP No 36—Manufactured Home Estates SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 62—Sustainable Aquaculture SEPP No 64—Advertising and Signage SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	The New England I Dumaresq LGA.	North West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan applies to the Armidale
Have inconsistencies with	n items a), b) and d) bei	ng adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with the New England North West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan.	
	The inconsistencie	es with SEPPs and section 117 Directions are discussed below.
Mapping Provided - s	55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided? Yes	S	
Comment :	Mapping identifying the subject land, the existing and proposed zoning, and the existing and proposed minimum lot size are included in the proposal and are considered appropriate for community consultation.	
Community consultat	ion - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consultat	ion been proposed? Ye	s
Comment :	The RPA has identified a 28 day exhibition period for the proposal. While the land is identified within Council's Secretary approved Armidale Industrial Lands Study 2012 for release, the Secretary's approval was subject to a number of issues being addressed in detail at the rezoning stage of the proposal. A 28 day notification period is therefore considered appropriate to allow a sufficient period for the community to review and make comment on the proposal.	
Additional Director G	eneral's requireme	nts
Are there any additional D	Director General's requir	rements? No
If Yes, reasons :		

L

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :	The Planning Proposal and accompanying documentation are considered to satisfy the adequacy criteria by:
	1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes;
	Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed by the LEP to achieve th outcomes;
	3. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal;
	4. Outlining a proposed community consultation program; and
	5. Providing a project time line.
	Council has confirmed that it wishes to exercise its plan making delegations in relation to this proposal. As the proposal involves primarily a rezoning of local significance, it is considered appropriate that an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations be issued to Council for this matter.
	The RPA has provided a project time line which estimates that Council will complete
	this matter in May 2016. To ensure an adequate period for finalisation a 9 month time frame for completion of the proposal is recommended.

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Armidale Dumaresq LEP 2012 was notified on 30 November 2012.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	During the preparation of Armidale Dumaresq comprehensive LEP 2008 a number of policy issues were identified in relation to Council's treatment of industrial land. These matters were addressed through the preparation of the Armidale Dumaresq Bulky Goods Retail and Industrial Lands Study approved by the Director General in July 2010.
	Council in 2011 determined that the 2010 Study had been overly conservative in its recommendations and may be unreasonably limiting the supply of suitably zoned industrial land. The major reasons for this change in position were: - high industrial land values when compared to other regional centres; and - concerns that some of the land with the existing zoned land bank were 'undevelopable' due to prohibitive infrastructure costs or physical characteristics such as slope and native vegetation.
	Council as a result prepared the Armidale Industrial Lands Study 2012 to address these issues. The 2012 Study was prepared on a community aspirational approach rather than the historical trend based approach adopted by the 2010 study. The 2012 Study adopts aspirational growth rates for the Armidale LGA of 1.3% and 2.5% per annum, with the population projected to grow from approximately 25,000 in 2010 to 36,408 or 49,954 respectively by 2036. The study estimates that this will create demand for an additional 50-87ha of industrial land. The 2012 Study also concluded that the current industrial land bank had only 17.5ha of vacant land that is 'developable' and not affected by prohibitive infrastructure costs or physical characteristics such as slope and native vegetation. The 2012 Study identified a number of new potential industrial sites in Armidale with a total combined 'developable' area of 87.3ha. The Armidale Airport East site (the subject land) was the major land release identified to supply the additional required industrial land. The Secretary's delegate granted conditional approval to the 2012 Study in April 2013.
	The Secretary's conditional approval to the 2012 Study did not support bulky goods

Rezone land at New England Highway and Kia Ora Rd, Armidale

retailing on the subject land and also required a number of matters raised by the NSW Heritage Council (heritage landscape issues impacts on the adjacent State Heritage Register listed Saumarez Homestaed), NSW Roads and Maritime Services (access to the New England Highway) and the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (Biodiversity, Aboriginal heritage and flooding issues) to be addressed at the Planning Proposal stage. The Planning Proposal as submitted is consistent with the requirements of the conditional approval. It is recommended however that the above agencies again be consulted should a Gateway determination be issued to ensure that their issues have been resolved satisfactorily.

The Planning Proposal is now needed to rezone and release the subject land and allow its development to meet the future industrial land needs of Armidale.

Rezone land at New England Highway and Kia Ora Rd, Armidale

Consistency with strategic planning framework :	While no Regional Strategies apply to the Armidale Dumaresq LGA, the New England North West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan (SRLUP) does apply. The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the SRLUP despite containing a small area of Biophysical Strategic Agricultural Land (BSAL). The BSAL involves only 0.8ha of land, is not in contiguous ownership with other BSAL, and is not currently or likely to be used for significant agricultural purposes.
	The proposal is consistent with the intent of Council's local growth management strategy (New England Development Strategy) that was approved by the Director General in March 2010. The proposal is also consistent with Council's Armidale Industrial Lands Study 2012 that was conditionally approved by the Secretary's delegate in April 2013. The 2012 Study identifies the land as a light industrial land release area.
	The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPs except SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008. The proposal seeks to rezone the land from RU4 Primary Production Small Lots to IN2 Light Industrial and is not fully consistent with all the principles contained with the Rural Lands SEPP. The inconsistency of the Planning Proposal with this SEPP is considered to be of minor significance as the land is identified for future industrial use in Council's Secretary approved Armidale Industrial Lands Study 2012.
	The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with all applicable section 117 Directions except in relation to 1.2 Rural Zones, 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries, 1.5 Rural Lands, 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones, 2.3 Heritage Conservation, 3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes, 4.3 Flood Prone Land and 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection as discussed below.
	1.2 Rural Zones This Direction applies as the draft LEP contains provisions that will rezone land from RU4 Primary Production Small Lots to IN2 Light Industrial. The inconsistency of the Planning Proposal with this Direction is considered to be justified as the proposed rezoning is in accordance with Council's Secretary approved Armidale Industrial Lands Study 2012.
	1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries
	The proposal is considered to be inconsistent with the Direction as extractive industries are prohibited in the IN2 Light Industrial Zone under Armidale Dumaresq LEP 2012. This inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance as: - the NSW DPI Resource Audit Maps show no existing or potential resources in this locality; - extractive industries are already prohibited on the land under the provisions of the RU4 Primary Production Small Lots Zone of Armidale Dumaresq LEP 2012; and - extractive industries will still be able to be undertaken on the land if necessary under the provisions of the Mining SEPP.
	1.5 Rural Lands
	The proposal is inconsistent with the Direction as it seeks to rezone land from RU4 Primary Production Small Lots to IN2 Light Industrial and is not fully consistent with all the principles contained with the Rural Lands SEPP. The inconsistency of the Planning Proposal with this Direction is considered to be of minor significance as the land is identified for future industrial use in Council's Secretary approved Armidale Industrial Lands Study 2012.
	2.1 Environmental Protection Zones The proposal is inconsistent with this Direction as it does not include provisions that facilitate the protection and conservation of environmentally sensitive areas. The proposal identifies that the site has the potential to contain or help support certain threatened
	species, endangered populations and threatened ecological communities, but concludes that based on the currently available evidence these do not appear to be an overall constraint to the rezoning subject to further investigations post Gateway or at Development Application stage to confirm the suitability of the site. This inconsistency is

considered to be of minor significance based on the findings of the work undertaken to date, the further works that will be required prior to the development of the site, and subject to consultation being undertaken with the Office of Environment and Heritage.

2.3 Heritage Conservation

The proposal is inconsistent with this Direction as it does not contain provisions that conserve Aboriginal or historic heritage. While the site does not contain any known Aboriginal or historic heritage, it is in the vicinity of the State Heritage Register listed Saumarez Homestead and has been identified as having the potential for Aboriginal objects or places to be present. This inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance based on the findings of the work undertaken to date, the further works that will be required prior to the development of the site, and subject to consultation being undertaken with the Office of Environment and Heritage, the NSW Heritage Council and the National Trust of Australia.

3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes

This Direction is relevant as the Planning Proposal will affect land that is in the vicinity of a licensed aerodrome. The Direction requires the RPA to consult with the Department of the Commonwealth responsible for aerodromes. Until this consultation has occurred the consistency of the proposal with the Direction remains unresolved.

4.3 Flood Prone Land

The proposal is inconsistent with this Direction as it proposes changes that could increase the development potential of land that may be flood prone. A non-perennial watercourse is located along the southern boundary of the site. The inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance as:

- the area of land affected by the non-perennial watercourse is extremely small;

- the land is at the top of the catchment and is likely to be affected primarily by minor overland drainage flows;

- clause 6.2 Flood Planning of Armidale Dumaresq LEP 2012 applies to the land and ensures that flooding issues are appropriately considered and addressed at the development application stage.

4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection

This Direction is relevant as the Planning Proposal will affect land that is in the vicinity of bushfire prone land. The Direction requires the RPA to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service after a Gateway Determination has been issued. Until this consultation has occurred the consistency of the proposal with the Direction remains unresolved.

Environmental social No adverse economic or social impacts associated with the proposal have been identified. economic impacts : The proposal confirms that appropriate infrastructure is available to service the land, including agreement with NSW Roads and Maritime Services on the preferred future access options for the site to the New England Highway.

While the site is predominantly cleared and heavily disturbed by past and present grazing activities, the proposal identifies that further flora and fauna work will be undertaken either after the Gateway Determination or as part of a future development application. The proposal also identifies the potential for Aboriginal objects or places to be present, and the vicinity of the State Heritage Register listed Saumarez Homestead, with further heritage assessment to be undertaken after the Gateway Determination or as part of a future development application. Based on this assessment, it considered appropriate that the Office of Environment and Heritage, the NSW Heritage Council and the National Trust be consulted in regard to this matter.

Assessment Process	S		
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Office of Environment and Heritage NSW Rural Fire Service Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services Other		
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No	
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes	
If no, provide reasons :	It is recommended that	this matter proceed.	
	Heritage raising issues Proposal for the rezonir attempted to address m	Id Maritime Services and the NSW Of that required further consideration a ng of the land at the Airport East site any of the issues raised in these sul gencies again be consulted (includio SW Heritage Council).	s part of any Planning . While the proposal has omissions, it considered
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No		
If Yes, reasons :			
Identify any additional st	udies, if required.		
lf Other, provide reasons	3 1		
Identify any internal cons	sultations, if required :		
No internal consultation	n required		
Is the provision and fund	ing of state infrastructure r	elevant to this plan? No	
If Yes, reasons :			
uments			
Document File Name		DocumentType Na	me Is Public
Planning Proposal - Co	ver Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering	-
Planning Proposal.pdf Council Report.pdf		Proposal Proposal	Yes Yes
Council Minute 24.8.201	5 (1).pdf	Proposal	Yes
Biodiversity Report.pdf		Study	Yes
	nendation		
ining Team Recomr			
nning Team Recomr	ng proposal supported at t	his stage : Recommended with Conc	litions

Rezone land at New England Highway and Kia Ora Rd, Armidale

	 1.5 Rural Lands 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 		
Additional Information :	 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 1. The Planning Proposal be supported; 2. The Planning Proposal be exhibited for 28 days; 3. The Planning Proposal be completed within 9 months; 4. Council is to consult with the following agencies: Department of the Commonwealth responsible for aerodromes; NSW Rural Fire Service; Office of Environment and Heritage; Roads and Maritime Services; NSW Heritage Council; and National Trust of Australia; 5. That the Secretary (or her delegate) approve the inconsistencies with s117 Directions 1.2 Rural Zones, 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries, 1.5 Rural Lands, 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones, 2.3 Heritage Conservation and 4.3 Flood Prone Land; 6. That the Secretary (or her delegate) note the current inconsistencies with section 117 Directions 3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes and 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection and that these inconsistencies will need to be resolved prior to the proposal being finalised; and 7. That an authorisation to exercise delegation be issued to Council. 		
Supporting Reasons 🗧	The Planning Proposal is supported as it will rezone and release additional industrial land to support the future needs of Armidale.		
Signature:	A		
Printed Name:	Crang Diss Date: 12 October 2015		